UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,036	10/31/2003	Andrew John Bradfield	SOM920030008US1 1193		
59559 RYAN, MAS(7590 09/14/2007 ON & LEWIS, LLP	EXAMINER			
90 FOREST AVENUE			ABDUL-ALI, OMAR R		
LOCUST VALLEY, NY 11560		•	ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
			2178		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	·		09/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/699,036	BRADFIELD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Omar Abdul-Ali	2178		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	İ
	Omar Abdul-Ali	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compl following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply exires months from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing o	late of the final rejection	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		E FIRST KEPLT WAS F	ILED WITHIWW
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. and the corresponding amount of the following the statutory period for reply originally set on the after the mailing date of the final replacements.	ee. The appropriate extr in the final Office action ejection, even if timely f	ension fee under 37 ; os (22): forth in (b) iled, may reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a supplementary. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoi d dismissal d	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 		TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ————		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See attached. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	19 19 79 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	'HEN'H ONG 'Y PATENT EXAMI	MEB

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 0806)

Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Mikhailov does not determine if a second frame depends on a first frame. It is respectfully submitted that Mikhailov discloses this limitation by disclosing that a first application page is unusable until a page sent by the server is loaded, processed, and rendered on the screen. This process demonstrates a dependency between the application and subsequent pages. Applicant argues that Mikhailov does not teach or suggest permitting the user to interact with the displayed first fram regardless of whether the second frame is sufficiently loaded. It is respectfully submitted that Mikhailov discloses this limitation by disclosing the process of navigating between active frames while a frame is being loaded (pg 15, paragraph 200). Applicant argues that Mikhailov does not differentiate between a full y loaded and a not fully loaded first frame before preserving the state of the first frame, however, Mikhailov discloses receiving interaction commands when a frame is in active mode (fully loaded), and discloses navigation between frames while a frame is being loaded (not fully loaded). Applicant argues that Mikhailov does not teach or suggest not preserving data associated with the first fram if the first fram had not been fully loaded before the system received a command selecting the activation of a se cond frame. However, Mikhailov discloses displaying a loading icon for a page that is not fully loaded, and navigating between frames while that page is blocked from interaction. In this case, there will be no data to preserve when the page is in the deactivated state, therefore loading the subapplication without preserving data associated with the current page as discloses in Claim 9.